

# **EMA Workshop on Hemophilia Registries**

## **Industry Perspectives**

*July 01-02, 2015 - London*

***Mary Gustafson***

**Vice President**

**Medical and Regulatory Policy**

**Plasma Protein Therapeutics Association**

- Thomas Barnett (Grifols)\*
- Debra Bensen-Kennedy (CSL Behring)\*
- Nenè Calcinaï (Kedrion)\*
- Isabel Henkel (Grifols) \*
- Timothy Hickling (Pfizer)\*\*
- Haiyan Jiang (Biogen)\*\*
- Robert Kozak (Bayer)\*\*
- Kasper Lamberth (Novo Nordisk)\*\*
- Alberto Mancin (Kedrion)\*
- Prasad Mathew (Bayer)\*\*
- Pedro Paz (Bayer)\*\*
- Lewis Pollack (Novo Nordisk)\*\*
- Stine Louise Reedt-Runge (Novo Nordisk) \*\*
- Birgit Reipert (Baxalta)\*
- Jeffrey Spears (Grifols)\*

\* PPTA member  
\*\* non-PPTA member

- Hemophilia products
  - Plasma-derived
  - Recombinant
  - Long-acting
- Industry looking for ways to improve circumstances and outcomes for patients > can registries help?
- Not enough has been learned about patients > can registries help?
- Opportunity and value of genotyping in predicting immunogenicity > can registries help?

## General limitations:

- Large number of competing registries
  - competition for limited resources & potential for biased participation
- Collection of large amount of data, without clear focus on answering particular question
  - large databases with data entry compliance issues
- Some registries designed to answer very specific questions
  - if data mined for non-originator questions - answers are incomplete or misleading

## General limitations (continued):

- Data entry not consistently monitored/ tracking not in place
  - Data from single patient potentially entered across multiple participating centers
    - > avoid double reporting/counting
  - Patient often lost to follow up (move/death), thus patient status may be inaccurate
    - > ensure adequate follow up

PedNet (RODIN study) v. EUHASS

1. Experience with product-specific and disease-specific registries and pros & cons:
  - Valuable tool for collecting information on rare events/ rare diseases, but also on epidemiology and public health profile

## EUHASS registry:

- Product-specific and disease-specific
- Well-designed registry collecting information to answer specific question
- Inclusion of large network of participating centers, with monitoring and confirmation of data
- Can be used to answer specific clinical questions

## 2. How to strengthen the outcome of registries?

- Consolidate existing registries into basic registry/ies to answer specific question/s and obtain concise pertinent information > relevant scientific information is added
- Ensure data entry is up-to-date and accurate > requires proactive review program
- Design registries to answer specific questions with defined core data set
- Collect data more systematically, avoid administrative hurdles
- Data mostly from hemophilia treatment centers (HTC)  
> counteract continuing erosion of HTCs across the world

## 3. How can industry contribute?

- Support and technical know-how
- Support consolidation of many individual registries into 1/2 covering most important questions and complying with clean data entry
  - > avoids patchwork distribution of data and misleading information (if data mined for questions not part of original database purpose)
- Assist in setting up centralized data entry process > data entered through single portal
  - > minimizes demands on site staff and helps with simplification and site participation



- Point to consider:
  - Registries are a public health tool > shouldn't support ideally come from public health domain?

- Answers to particular, well-defined clinical, laboratory or genetic questions:
  - Monitoring of patients' outcomes (inhibitor development)
- Inform on design of future drug development and clinical trials:
  - Currently no formal link between registries and ongoing clinical trials > utilization as predictive tool and risk reduction for patient safety and drug development through:
    - Immunogenicity prediction/assessment implementation
    - HLA typing and genotyping (considering ethnicity, geographical location)
    - Patient stratification, especially with small patient populations

4. How could/ should regulators contribute?
  - Commitment to include registry data in phase IV clinical trial assessment
  - Revision of requirements for rare disease assessment based on availability (and quality) of registry data

- FDA Immunogenicity Workshop: September 17 - 18, 2015 (NIH, Bethesda, Maryland, USA)
- Topics: Genetic basis of immunogenicity of coagulation proteins
  - Glycobiology and immunogenicity
  - Animal models
  - Fusion proteins
  - **Registries**
- Participants:
  - PPTA task force
  - Steering committee: FDA, NIH, PPTA, NHF, Clinicians



For further information, comments or questions, please contact:

*Mary Gustafson*

*Vice President, Medical and Regulatory Policy  
Plasma Protein Therapeutics Association (PPTA)*

[mgustafson@pptaglobal.org](mailto:mgustafson@pptaglobal.org)

**Baxalta**



**Bayer**



**Novo Nordisk**

**GRIFOLS**

**KEDRION**  
BIOPHARMA  
Keep Life Flowing

**CSL Behring**

